

the said Commissioners proceed to collect the bonds filed in this cause and pay me fifty of the proceeds of the sale of the negroes to Selby Bell in right of his share interest of said slaves, that they pay the balance together with the bond sales equally to James Bell and Ann Eliza Bell and that the parties pay equally the costs of this suit -

James Glasgow late Sheriff of the County of Southampton and Comptroller and administrator of the estate of John Fitzhugh
against
Self

Josel Johnson and his wife Brucille and Peter D. Dickman

Self
vs

The defendants Josel Johnson and his wife Brucille who are out of the country and against whom the plaintiff appears to have proceeded in the writs presented by law against about defendants, still failing to appear and answer and it appearing to the Court that more than two months have elapsed since the service of the subpoenas on the defendant Brucille and also since the filing of the bill, who still fails to answer. On motion of the plaintiff by Counsel, his bill is taken for confessed. And the cause coming on to be heard on the bill and amended bill exhibits filed and examination of witnesses, was argued by Counsel. On consideration whereof the Court doth order adjudge and direct that the plaintiff recover of the defendant Josel Johnson the sum of fifty dollars and twenty nine cents with interest from the 20th of February 1821 till paid and his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended. And it appearing to the Court that the defendant Peter D. Dickman hath in his hands funds belonging to the said Johnson sufficient to pay the said demand, it is further ordered that the said Dickman pay to the plaintiff in satisfaction of the said debt the sum of Fifty dollars and twenty nine cents with interest from the 20th February 1822 till paid together with the costs of this suit. But the effect of this decree is to be suspended until the plaintiff shall have entered into bond with sufficient security in the Clerk's Office of this Court in a penalty equal to double the money decreed to be paid by the resident defendant with such condition as the law requires in case of absent defendants.

William Murfee in his own right and as administrator of Nancy Murfee de
against
James Murfee administrator of Samuel Story de and also in his own right and
James W. Murfee
Self

This day this cause came on to be heard on the bill and exhibits answer of the Defendant James Murfee and examinations of witnesses and was argued by Counsel. On consideration whereof the Court doth order that James Murfee administrator of Samuel Story de do render an account of the guardianship of the said Story of William Murfee and Nancy Murfee before Commissioners Bell, who is directed to audit settle and report the same together with any matters deemed pertinent by himself, or that may be required to be stated by either of the parties.

Thomas P. Pottle administrator of Anne Pottle de
against
Alfred S. Bailey administrator de bonis non with the will annexed of James Pottle de
Self

This cause in which it appears that since the last term Robert S. Pottle has become administrator de bonis non of Anne Pottle de is by consent moved and returned in his name and the cause is to be heard on the papers formerly read and on the report of the Commissioners made coming on to be heard on the 20th November 1822 the Court confirming said report doth in pursuance to the decree of the 20th November 1821 order and direct that Timothy Atkinson administrator of Alfred S. Bailey de do pay to the said Robert S. Pottle administrator de bonis non of Anne Pottle de the sum of